arXiv:1511.00940v1 [math.FA] 3 Nov 2015

A representation theorem of the dual of the Orlicz heart of a random normed module

Mingzhi Wu Long Long*
School of Mathematics and Statistics
Central South University
Changsha 410083, China
Email: wumingzhi@csu.edu.cn, longlong@csu.edu.cn

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the notion of the Orlicz space and the Orlicz heart for a random normed module E, and give a representation theorem which identify the dual of the Orlicz heart of E with the Orlicz space generated by the random conjugate space of E.

Keywords. Orlicz space, Orlicz heart, Random normed module, representation theorem

1 Introduction

Random normed modules are a generalization of ordinary normed spaces. Since random normed modules do not always admit a nontrivial linear functional, the theory of traditional conjugate spaces can no longer apply universally to the study of random normed modules, thus the theory of random conjugate spaces for random normed modules has been developed in order to overcome the above difficulty. In the last 20 years, the theory of random conjugate spaces has played an essential role in both the development of the theory of random normed modules and their applications to various topics, see [13, 14, 15] and the references therein for details.

In the development of the theory of random normed modules and its random conjugate spaces, a powerful tool is the precise connection between the random conjugate space E^* of an random normed module E and the classical conjugate space of the abstract normed space $L^p(E)$ generated from E, namely

$$(L^p(E), \|\cdot\|_p)' \cong (L^q(E^*), \|\cdot\|_q), \qquad (1 \leq p < \infty,\ \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1)$$

under the canonical embedding mapping. This connection was established in Guo [8, 10]. It unifies all the dual representation theorems of Lebesgue-Bochner function spaces (see [10]). Making use of this connection, Guo and Li [11] proved the James theorem in complete random normed modules; Guo,

Xiao and Chen [12] established a basic strict separation theorem in random locally convex modules; Zhang and Guo [18] established a mean ergodic theorem on random reflexive random normed modules, and Wu [17] proved the Bishop-Phelps theorem in complete random normed modules endowed with the (ε, λ)-topology.

Orlicz spaces are a generalization of L^p spaces. They share many useful properties with L^p spaces, among which the most important is that, they are Banach spaces and admit nice duality. Recently, there is an increasing interest in Orlicz spaces theory in some topics in mathematical finance. Cheridito and Li [2, 3] sudied convex risk measures defined on Orlicz spaces. Biagini and Frittelli [1] indicated that Orlicz spaces can be used as the unified framework for utility maximization problems. Kupper and Vogelpoth [16] and Eisele and Taieb [6] studied Orlicz type modules in the hope to use them in the study of conditional risk measures.

In this paper, we introduce the notion of the Orlicz space and the Orlicz heart generated by a random normed module E, and give a representation theorem which identify the dual of the Orlicz heart of E with the Orlicz space generated by the random conjugate space of E. Our result generalizes the forementioned precise connection between random conjugate space and classical conjugate space established by Guo. What is perhaps more important, our result provides a possibility to systematically use the Orlicz space theory to the study of random normed modules.

2 Terminology and notation

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a probability space, K the scalar field of real numbers $\mathbb{R}$ or complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$, and $L^0(\mathcal{F}, K)$ ($\bar{L}^0(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R})$) the algebra of all equivalence classes of $\mathcal{F}$-measurable K-valued (accordingly, extended real-valued) random variables on Ω. We write L^0 and $\bar{L}^0$ for $L^0(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R})$ and $\bar{L}^0(\mathcal{F}, \mathbb{R})$, respectively.

As usual, $\bar{L}^0$ is partially ordered by $\xi \leqslant \eta$ iff $\xi^0(\omega) \leq \eta^0(\omega)$ for P-almost all $\omega \in \Omega$, where ξ^0 and η^0 are arbitrarily chosen representatives of ξ and η, respectively. According to [4], $(\bar{L}^0, \leqslant)$ is a complete lattice, and $(L^0, \leqslant)$ is a conditionally complete lattice. For a subset H of $\bar{L}^0$, $\vee H$ stands for the supremum of H, and if H is upward directed, namely there exists $c \in H$ for any $a, b \in H$ such that $a \leqslant c$ and $b \leqslant c$, then there exists a sequence $\{a_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in H such that $\{a_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges to $\vee A$ in a nondecreasing way.

$\tilde{I}_A$ always denotes the equivalence class of I_A, where $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and I_A is the characteristic function of A. For any $\xi \in L^0$, $|\xi|$ denotes the equivalence class of $|\xi^0| : \Omega \to [0, \infty)$ defined by $|\xi^0|(\omega) = |\xi^0(\omega)|$, where ξ^0 is an arbitrarily chosen representative of ξ.

Denote $L^0_+ = \{\xi \in L^0 \,|\, \xi \geqslant 0\}$.

Let us first recall the notion of random normed modules, which was first formulated in [9] with the

following form.

Definition 2.1 *An ordered pair $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a random normed module over K with base $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ if E is a left module over $L^0(\mathcal{F}, K)$ (briefly, an $L^0(\mathcal{F}, K)$-module), and the mapping $\|\cdot\| : E \to L^0_+$ satisfies:*
(1) $\|x\| = 0$ if and only if $x = \theta$(the null element of E);
(2) $\|\xi x\| = |\xi|\|x\|$ for all $\xi \in L^0(\mathcal{F}, K)$ and $x \in E$;
(3) $\|x_1 + x_2\| \leqslant \|x_1\| + \|x_2\|$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in E$.

In this paper, given a random normed module $(E, \|\cdot\|)$, E is always endowed with the (ε, λ)-topology. It suffices to say that the (ε, λ)-topology is a metrizable linear topology, a sequence $\{x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in E converges in the (ε, λ)-topology to x iff the sequence $\{\|x_n - x\|, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in L^0_+ converges in probability to 0.

Specially, $(L^0(\mathcal{F}, K), |\cdot|)$ is a random normed module, and the (ε, λ)-topology is exactly the topology of convergence in probability.

Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a random normed module over K with base $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$. E^* denotes the $L^0(\mathcal{F}, K)$-module of all continuous module homomorphisms f from $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ to $(L^0(\mathcal{F}, K), |\cdot|)$. According to [7, Theorem 3.1], given a linear mapping $f : E \to L^0(\mathcal{F}, K)$, then $f \in E^*$ iff f is a.s bounded, which means that for some $\xi \in L^0_+$, $|f(x)| \leq \xi\|x\|$ holds for all $x \in E$. Define $\|f\|^* = \vee\{|f(x)| : x \in E, \|x\| \leqslant 1\}$ for each $f \in E^*$, then $(E^*, \|\cdot\|^*)$ is a random normed module, called the random conjugate space of $(E, \|\cdot\|)$.

3 Orlicz spaces and Orlicz hearts

3.1 Some basic facts of Orlicz space theory

We shortly review some basic facts of Orlicz space theory. A function $\Phi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty]$ is called a Young function if it is convex, left-continuous, $\lim_{t\to 0} \Phi(t) = \Phi(0) = 0$, and $\lim_{t\to\infty} \Phi(t) = \infty$. It is easy to see that Φ is increasing, and continuous except possibly at a single point, where it jumps to ∞. The conjugate of Φ defined by

$$\Psi(s) := \sup_{t\geq 0}\{ts - \Phi(t)\}, \qquad s \geq 0,$$

is also a Young function. We can check that the conjugate of Ψ is Φ.

In the sequel, we use $E[\xi]$ to denote ξ's expectation with respect to the probability P.

The Orlicz space corresponding to Φ is given by:

$$L^\Phi = \{\xi \in L^0 : E[\Phi(c|\xi|)] < \infty \text{ for som } c > 0\},$$

and the Orlicz heart is given by:

$$M^{\Phi} = \{\xi \in L^0 : E[\Phi(c|\xi|)] < \infty \text{ for all } c > 0\}.$$

The Orlicz norm

$$|\xi|_{\Phi} = \sup\{|E[\xi\eta]| : \eta \in L^{\Psi}, E[\Psi(|\eta|)] \leq 1\}$$

and the Luxemburg norm

$$|\xi|_{(\Phi)} = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : E[\Phi(\frac{|\eta|}{\lambda})] \leq 1\}$$

are equivalent norms on L^{Φ} under which L^{Φ} is a Banach space.

If $\Phi(t) = \infty$ for some $t \in (0, \infty)$, then M^{Φ} is the trivial space $\{0\}$. Thus in the sequel, Φ is assumed to be real valued, equivalently, Φ is continuous. According to [5, Theorem 2.1.14], we always have that $\mathcal{S} \subset L^{\infty} \subset M^{\Phi}$, where $\mathcal{S}$ stands for the set of all simple measurable functions. Moreover, $\mathcal{S}$ is dense in $(M^{\Phi}, |\cdot|_{(\Phi)})$, which implies that M^{Φ} is the $|\cdot|_{(\Phi)}$-closure of L^{∞} in L^{Φ}. Furthermore, according to [5, Theorem 2.2.11], the norm dual of $(M^{\Phi}, |\cdot|_{(\Phi)})$ is given by $(L^{\Psi}, |\cdot|_{\Psi})$, where $\eta \in L^{\Psi}$ is identified with the linear functional $f_{\eta} : M^{\Phi} \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f_{\eta}(\xi) = E[\xi\eta], \forall\ \xi \in M^{\Phi}$.

In the following, we give some simple examples.

Example 3.1 *1. If $\Phi(t) = t$, then $\Psi(s) = 0$ for $s \leq 1$, and ∞ otherwise. We have:*
$M^{\Phi} = L^{\Phi} = L^1$, $|\cdot|_{(\Phi)} = |\cdot|_{\Phi} = \|\cdot\|_1$,
$L^{\Psi} = L^{\infty}, M^{\Psi} = \{0\}, |\cdot|_{\Psi} = |\cdot|_{(\Psi)} = \|\cdot\|_{\infty}$.

2. If $\Phi(x) = t^p$ for $p \in (1, \infty)$, then $\Psi(s) = p^{1-q}q^{-1}s^q$. We have:
$M^{\Phi} = L^{\Phi} = L^p$, $|\cdot|_{(\Phi)} = \|\cdot\|_p$, $|\cdot|_{\Phi} = p^{\frac{1}{p}}q^{\frac{1}{q}}\|\cdot\|_p$,
$M^{\Psi} = L^{\Psi} = L^q$, $|\cdot|_{\Psi} = \|\cdot\|_q$, $|\cdot|_{(\Psi)} = p^{-\frac{1}{p}}q^{-\frac{1}{q}}\|\cdot\|_q$.

3.2 The Orlicz space and Orlicz heart of an random normed module

Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a random normed module, we introduce the Orlicz space corresponding to Φ generated by E as:

$$L^{\Phi}(E) = \{x \in E : \|x\| \in L^{\Phi}\},$$

and the Orlicz heart of E as:

$$M^{\Phi}(E) = \{x \in E : \|x\| \in M^{\Phi}\}.$$

The Orlicz norm is given by

$$\|x\|_{\Phi} = |\|x\||_{\Phi},$$

and the Luxemburg norm

$$\|x\|_{(\Phi)} = |||x|||_{(\Phi)},$$

for each $x \in L^\Phi(E)$.

Example 3.2 *1.If $\Phi(t) = t^p$ for $p \in [1, \infty)$, then we have $M^\Phi(E) = L^\Phi(E) = L^p(E)$ and $\|\cdot\|_{(\Phi)} = \|\cdot\|_p$, where $L^p(E) = \{x \in E : (E[\|x\|^p]) < \infty\}$ and $\|x\|_p = (E[\|x\|^p])^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Thus $(M^\Phi(E), \|\cdot\|_{(\Phi)})$ is exactly the abstract L^p-space generated by E.*

2. Let $\Psi(y) = 0$ for $y \leq 1$, and ∞ otherwise. Then $M^\Psi(E) = \{0\}, L^\Psi(E) = L^\infty(E) := \{x \in E : \|x\| \in L^\infty\}$ and $\|x\|_\Psi = \|x\|_\infty$.

Proposition 3.3 *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a complete random normed module and Φ a continuous Young function. Then $(M^\Phi(E), \|\cdot\|_{(\Phi)})$ is a Banach space.*

proof. It is easy to show that $\|\cdot\|_{(\Phi)}$ is a norm on $M^\Phi(E)$, thus we only need to prove the completeness. Let $\{x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $(M^\Phi(E), \|\cdot\|_{(\Phi)})$, then $\{x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ must also be a Cauchy sequence in $(E, \|\cdot\|)$, otherwise, there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ such that for any given $N_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, we can always find $m, n \geq N_0$ such that $P\{\omega \in \Omega : \|x_m - x_n\|(\omega) \geq \varepsilon\} \geq \lambda$, then

$$1 \geq E[\Phi(\frac{\|x_m - x_n\|}{c})] \geq \lambda\Phi(\frac{\varepsilon}{c})$$

yields that $c \geq c_0 = \varepsilon(\Phi^{-1}(\frac{1}{\lambda}))^{-1}$, which means that $\|x_m - x_n\|_{(\Phi)} \geq c_0 > 0$, contradicting to the assumption that $\{x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $(M^\Phi(E), \|\cdot\|_{(\Phi)})$. Then using the fact that $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ is complete, $\{x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges to some $x \in E$, namely, $\|x_n - x\| \to 0$ in probability as $n \to \infty$. Using Fatou's lemma, we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} E[\Phi(\frac{\|x_n - x\|}{c})] \leq \lim_{n\to\infty}\lim_{m\to\infty} E[\Phi(\frac{\|x_n - x_m\|}{c})] = 0, \forall c > 0,$$

which implies that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_n - x\|_{(\Phi)} = 0$. □

Proposition 3.4 *$L^\infty(E)$ is a dense subset in $(M^\Phi(E), \|\cdot\|_{(\Phi)})$.*

proof. For any fixed $x \in M^\Phi(E)$, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $A_n = \{\omega \in \Omega : \|x\|^0(\omega) \leq n\}$ and take $x_n = \tilde{I}_{A_n}$, where $\|x\|^0$ is an arbitrarily chosen representative of $\|\cdot\|$, then $x \in L^\infty(E)$ and $\|x_n - x\|_{(\Phi)} = |\tilde{I}_{A_n^c}\|x\||_{(\Phi)} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. □

4 Main result and its proof

We first state the main result as follows.

Theorem 4.1 *Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a random normed module over K with base $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$, $(E^*, \|\cdot\|^*)$ its random conjugate space, and Φ a continuous Young functions with conjugate Ψ. Then*

$$(M^{\Phi}(E), \|\cdot\|_{(\Phi)})' \cong (L^{\Psi}(E^*), \|\cdot\|_{\Psi}),$$

where the isometric isomorphism $T : (L^{\Psi}(E^*), \|\cdot\|_{\Psi}) \to (M^{\Phi}(E), \|\cdot\|_{(\Phi)})'$ is given by

$$[Tf](x) = E[f(x)], \forall x \in M^{\Phi}(E),$$

for each $f \in L^{\Psi}(E^*)$.

For the sake of clearness, the proof of Theorem 4.1 is divided into the following two Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3. Lemma 4.2 shows that T is well defined, and isometric and Lemma 4.3 shows that T is surjective.

In the following, $U(E) := \{x \in E : \|x\| \leqslant 1\}$ is the unit ball of E.

Lemma 4.2 *T is well defined and isometric.*

proof. For any fixed $f \in L^{\Psi}(E^*)$, we will prove $Tf \in (M^{\Phi}(E), \|\cdot\|_{(\Phi)})'$ and $\|Tf\| = \|f\|_{\Psi}$.

For any $x \in M^{\Phi}(E)$, according to "Hölder inequality", we have:

$$|[Tf](x)| = |E[f(x)]| \leq E[\|f\|^*\|x\|] \leq |\|f\|^*|_{\Psi}|\|x\||_{(\Phi)} = \|f\|_{\Psi}\|x\|_{(\Phi)}.$$

This shows that $Tf \in (M^{\Phi}(E), \|\cdot\|_{(\Phi)})'$ and $\|Tf\| \leq \|f\|_{\Psi}$, namely, T is well-defined. We remain to show that $\|Tf\| = \|f\|_{\Psi}$, or equivalently, $\|Tf\| \geq \|f\|_{\Psi}$.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\Psi} = |\|f\|^*|_{\Psi} &= \sup\{|E[\|f\|^*\xi]| : \xi \in M^{\Phi}, |\xi|_{(\Phi)} \leq 1\} \\ &= \sup\{E[\|f\|^*\xi] : \xi \in M^{\Phi}, \xi \geq 0, |\xi|_{(\Phi)} \leq 1\}, \end{aligned}$$

thus for any fixed $\xi \in M^{\Phi}$ with $\xi \geq 0, |\xi|_{(\Phi)} \leq 1$, it suffices to show $\|Tf\| \geq E[\|f\|^*\xi]$. It is easy to verify that the family $\{|f(x)| : x \in U(E)\}$ is upward directed, thus there exists a sequence $\{x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in $U(E)$ such that $\{|f(x_n)|, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ converges to $\vee\{|f(x)| : x \in U(E)\} = \|f\|^*$ in a nondecreasing way. Further, we can assume that $f(x_n) = |f(x_n)|$ for every n, otherwise we can replace each x_n with $(sgnf(x_n))x_n$ (here $sgn(z)$ for an element $z \in L^0(\mathcal{F}, K)$ means the equivalence class of $sgn(z^0)$ defined by $sgn(z^0)(\omega) = \frac{|z^0(\omega)|}{z^0(\omega)}$ if $z^0(\omega) \neq 0$, and 0 otherwise, where z^0 is an arbitrarily chosen representative of z). Thus, $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(\xi x_n) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \xi f(x_n) = \xi\|f\|^*$. For each n, since $\|\xi x_n\| = \xi\|x_n\| \leq \xi$, we

have $\|\xi x_n\|_{(\Phi)} \leq |\xi|_{(\Phi)} \leq 1$, thus $E[f(\xi x_n)] = [Tf](\xi x_n) \leq \|Tf\|$. Then according to Levi's monotone convergence theorem, we finally get

$$E[\|f\|^*\xi] = \lim_{n\to\infty} E[f(\xi x_n)] \leq \|Tf\|,$$

which completes the proof. □

Lemma 4.3 *T is surjective.*

proof. Let F be an arbitrary element in $(M^\Phi(E), \|\cdot\|_{(\Phi)})'$, we want to prove that there exists an $f \in L^\Psi(E^*)$ such that $F = Tf$.

For any fixed $x \in M^\Phi(E)$, define $\mu_x : \mathcal{F} \to K$ by $\mu_x(A) = F(\tilde{I}_A x), \forall A \in \mathcal{F}$, then μ_x is a countably additive K-valued measure, which is absolutely continuous with respect to the probability measure P. Thus according to Radon-Nikodým theorem, there exists an unique $\xi_x \in L^1$ such that $\mu_x(A) = F(\tilde{I}_A x) = E[\tilde{I}_A \xi_x], \forall A \in \mathcal{F}$. Moreover, $|\mu_x|(\Omega) = E[|\xi_x|] \leq \|F\|\|x\|_{(\Phi)}$, since $|\mu_x(A)| = |F(\tilde{I}_A x)| \leq \|F\|\|x\|_{(\Phi)}, \forall A \in \mathcal{F}$. Define $g : (M^\Phi(E), \|\cdot\|_{(\Phi)}) \to (L^1, |\cdot|_1)$ by $g(x) = \xi_x, \forall x \in M^\Phi(E)$, then g is a bounded linear operator, and $g(\tilde{I}_A x) = \tilde{I}_A g(x), \forall A \in \mathcal{F}, x \in M^\Phi(E)$. Immediately, we have that for each $x \in M^\Phi(E)$, $g(\xi x) = \xi g(x)$ holds for every simple function $\xi \in L^0(\mathcal{F}, K)$. Further we verify that $g(\xi x) = \xi g(x)$ holds for every $x \in U(E)$ and $\xi \in M^\Phi$. In fact, fix $x \in U(E)$ and $\xi \in M^\Phi$, according to [5, Theorem 2.1.14], there exists a sequence $\{\xi_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ consisting of simple measurable functions such that $|\xi_n - \xi|_{(\Phi)} \to 0$ which implies that $\xi_n \to \xi$ in probability and $\|\xi_n x - \xi x\|_{(\Phi)} = |(\xi_n - \xi)\|x\||_{(\Phi)} \leq |\xi_n - \xi|_{(\Phi)} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, thus $g(\xi x) = L^1 - \lim_{n\to\infty} g(\xi_n x) = L^1 - \lim_{n\to\infty} \xi_n g(x) = \xi g(x)$.

Consider the subset $\{|g(x)| : x \in U(E)\}$ of $L^1 \subset L^0$, since $|g(\tilde{I}_A x + \tilde{I}_{A^c} y)| = \tilde{I}_A |g(x)| + \tilde{I}_{A^c} |g(y)|$ holds for every $x, y \in U(E)$ and $A \in \mathcal{F}$, we see that $\{|g(x)| : x \in U(E)\}$ is upward directed. As in the proof of Lemma 4.2, there exists a sequence $\{x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ in $U(E)$ such that $|g(x_n)| = g(x_n)$ converges to $X_g := \vee\{|g(x)| : x \in U(E)\} \in \bar{L}^0_+$ in a nondecreasing way as $n \to \infty$. Then, for any $\xi \in M^\Phi$ with $\xi \geq 0$, by Levi's monotone convergence theorem,

$$E[\xi X_g] = \lim_{n\to\infty} E[\xi g(x_n)] = \lim_{n\to\infty} E[g(\xi x_n)] \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \|F\|\|\xi x_n\|_{(\Phi)} \leq \|F\||\xi|_{(\Phi)} < \infty,$$

which implies that $X_g \in L^\Psi$ with $|X_g|_\Psi \leq \|F\|$.

Define $f : E \to L^0(\mathcal{F}, K)$ by $f(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} g(\tilde{I}_{A_n} x)$ for each $x \in E$, where A_n is taken as in the proof of Proposition 3.4 and the limit on the right side is taken with respect to the convergent in probability. Since $|g(\tilde{I}_{A_m} x) - g(\tilde{I}_{A_n} x)| = |g((\tilde{I}_{A_m} - \tilde{I}_{A_n})x)| \leq X_g |\tilde{I}_{A_m} - \tilde{I}_{A_n}|\|x\| \to 0$ in probability as $m, n \to \infty$, we see that f is well defined. Moreover, it is easily seen that f is linear, $f(x) = g(x)$ holds for every $x \in L^\infty(E)$ and $|f(x)| \leq X_g \|x\|, \forall x \in E$, it follows from [13, Lemma 2.12] that f is

$L^0(\mathcal{F}, K)$-linear, thus $f \in E^*$ and

$$\|f\|^* = \vee\{|f(x)| : x \in U(E)\} = \vee\{|g(x)| : x \in U(E)\} = X_g,$$

which implies that $f \in L^\Psi(E^*)$.

We remain to show $F = Tf$. Note that

$$[Tf](x) = E[f(x)] = E[g(x)] = F(x), \forall x \in L^\infty(E),$$

since $L^\infty(E)$ is a dense subset of $(M^\Phi(E), \|\cdot\|_{(\Phi)})$ by Proposition 3.4, the two continuous functionals F and Tf must equal to each other on the whole space $(M^\Phi(E), \|\cdot\|_{(\Phi)})$. □

Remark 4.4 *In Theorem 4.1, if we take $\Phi(t) = t^p$ for $p \in [1, \infty)$, then we get*

$$(L^p(E), \|\cdot\|_p)' \cong (L^q(E^*), \|\cdot\|_q).$$

Thus Theorem 4.1 generalizes Guo's result.

References

[1] Biagini S, Frittelli M. A unified framework for utility maximization problems: an orlicz space approach. Annal Appl Probab, 2008, 18(3): 929-966

[2] Cheridito P, Li T H. Dual characterization of properties of risk measures on Orlicz hearts. Math Finan Econ, 2008, 2: 29-55

[3] Cheridito P, Li T H. Risk measures on Orlicz hearts. Math Finance, 2009, 19(2): 189-214

[4] Dunford N, Schwartz J T. Linear Operators (I). New York: Interscience, 1957

[5] Edgar G A, Sucheston L. Stopping Times and Directed Processes. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 47. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1992

[6] Eisele K -Th, Taieb S. Lattice modules over rings of bounded random variables. Commun. Stoch. Anal., 2012, 6: 525-545

[7] Guo T X. Extension theorems of continuous random linear operators on random domains. J Math Anal Appl, 1995, 193: 15-27

[8] Guo T X. A characterization for a complete random normed module to be random reflexive. J Xiamen Univ Natur Sci. 1997, 36: 499-502 (in Chinese).

[9] Guo T X. Some basic theories of random normed linear spaces and random inner product spaces. Acta Anal Func Appl, 1999, 1(2): 160C184

[10] Guo T X. Representation theorems of the dual of Lebesgue-Bochner function spaces. Sci China Ser. A, 2000, 43: 234-243

[11] Guo T X, Li S B. The James theorem in complete random normed modules, J. Math Anal Appl., 2005, 308: 257-265

[12] Guo T X, Xiao H X, Chen X X. A basic strict separation theorem in random locally convex modules. Nonlinear Anal, 2009, 71: 3794-3804

[13] Guo T X. Relations between some basic results derived from two kinds of topologies for a random locally convex module. J Funct Anal, 2010, 258: 3024–3047

[14] Guo T X. Recent progress in random metric theory and its applications to conditional risk measures. Sci China Math, 2011, 54: 633-660

[15] Guo T X, Zhao S E, Zeng X L. The relations among the three kinds of conditional risk measures. Sci China Math, 2014, 57: 1753–1764

[16] Kupper M, Vogelpoth N. Complete L^0-normed modules and automatic continuity of monotonbe convex functions. VIF Working papers Series, 2009.

[17] Wu M Z. The Bishop-Phelps theorem in compete random normed modules endowed with the (ε, λ)–topology. J Math Anal Appl, 2012, 391: 648-652

[18] Zhang X, Guo T X. The mean ergodic theorem on random reflexive random normed modules, Adv Math Sinica, 2012, 41: 21-30